

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,423	11/20/2003	Robert W. Wheeler	23221.00	6954
37833	7590 12/19/2005		EXAMINER	
LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD		MAYES, DIONNE WALLS		
	PO BOX 15035 CRYSTAL CITY STATION		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22215			1731	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/716,423	WHEELER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dionne Walls Mayes	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	re: a) accepted or b) objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/716,423 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Regarding claim 1, the phrase "and the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "and the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Additionally, some of the limitations of Claim 1 are awkwardly worded. For example, in lines 3-4, it appears that Applicant intends to claim a cigarette paper having a glued strip along the "width", as opposed to the "length". Also, in lines 9-11, it appears that the wording should be as follows: " – said stiff paper tipping being attached to said cigarette paper along its length and resulting, upon rolling the cigarette, [forms] in a cigarette extender portion; --

4. In claim 4, the claim recitation is unclear. It appears that Applicant intends to recite "the stiff tipping paper is applied to the <u>cigarette paper</u> [stiff tipping paper] by a non-harmful adhesive. –

Application/Control Number: 10/716,423 Page 3

Art Unit: 1731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by George (US. Pat. No. 5,657,773).

George discloses all that is recited in the claims, since George teaches cigarette paper 12, with a glue strip 16 along one side of the paper; smoking material; and a rolling strip 22 (corresponding to the claimed "a strip of stiff paper tipping"), which is positioned such that it has a length coextensive/overlaps with the length of the cigarette paper – along at least a portion of the cigarette paper's length (see entire document and figures and especially, col. 6, lines 60-63) – where upon completion of the production of a rolled cigarette with tobacco, the rolling strip 22 acts as a filter to minimize or eliminate the passage of smoking material into the smoker's mouth, and also serves to insulate the smokers lips and fingers from the combustible tobacco material during smoking.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1731

8. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over George (US. Pat. No. 5,657,773).

Regarding claim 2, while George may not specifically state that the rolling strip 22 has a dimension of 1/8 of an inch, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have arrived at the size, after routine experimentation, in order to achieve favorable size in order to accomplish its function of serving as a barrier to the smoker's lips and the burning smoking material.

Regarding claim 4, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have applied the rolling strip to the cigarette wrapper by a non-harmful adhesive since non-toxic glue is a common paste, and such is conventionally used to adhere two pieces of paper together.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne Walls Mayes whose telephone number is (571) 272-1195. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,423

Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)!

> **Dionne Walls Mayes Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1731

Page 5

December 12, 2005